

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/653,618	08/31/2000	Cem Paya	MS 4621 #147265.1/40062.67US01		
23552	7590 03/08/2005		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			SHERKAT, AREZOO		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2131		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/653,618	PAYA ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a
REPLY FILED 17 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Evenines	Art Unit					
Before the Filling of all Appeal Biller	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	lment, affidavit, or other evidence, eal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	lication in est for Continued				
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	riod for reply expiresnorthis from the maining date of the final rejection. riod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In the final rejection is later, and the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The approportion the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS 	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	hs of the date of filing	the Notice of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	OTE below);					
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 	corresponding number of finally re		the issues for				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Moudgill's method of preventing buffer oveflow lacks the required randomness of a security token. However, Nishikawa discloses a semiconductor integrated circuit having a diagnosis function including a scan chain arrangement block 2, in which a plurality of flip-flops are connected so that they can be shift-registered, and designed in a scan-path manner, a shift register 3 for storing required bits of a first random number pattern shifted by the block 2; another shift register 4 for storing required bits of a second random number pattern supplied to the block 2; and a comparator for comparing corresponding bits of the random number patterns stored in the shift registers 3 and 4 to detect whether all the bits of the random number patterns agree or disagree with each other ... (Nishikawa, abstract). The string of bits from shift register 3 can be pushed into the stack as a security token. This value may be popped from the stack into the shift register 4, and be compared against the content of the shift register 3 to detect buffer over flow. Therefore, the combined method introduced by Mogdgill and Nishikawa for detecting and preventing buffer overflow, motivated by diagnosing the operation state of a semiconductor integrated circuit (Nishikawa, Col. 1, lines 40-45) provides the claimed functionality.

